

rsyslog meets Docker alles easy, oder?

Rainer Gerhards

A decorative graphic consisting of a thick teal horizontal bar that spans the width of the slide. Below this bar, on the right side, are two thin, parallel white horizontal lines that extend to the right edge of the slide.

Agenda

- How we got started
- Some Use Cases
- Syslog Appliance Containers
- Introducing Meta-Config Layer
- Proper Structure - the “Container Stack”
- Some more Relations on rsyslog Development

Containers? Umm, yes...

- of course, we knew about containers - but we did not care ;-)
- Had a very long TODO list with non-container things, but...
 - we have seen some users post container defs to help troubleshoot issues
 - occasionally, containers were briefly mentioned in the in issue trackers
 - and of course we knew they went into more and more widespread use

Real Interest

- Curiosity wins...
 - isn't it time to at least know better what's required?
 - can we do something with them?
 - can we help our users to be more productive?
 - and a bit of "I want to know how it works in practice" (kind of pet project TBH...)
- Still we (thought we) had no real use case for ourselves, but the desire (and approval) to get going

First Steps

- Focus: Docker (and **pure** Docker!)
- Learn to build and use containers
- First surprises:
 - Ah! Thus folks are interested in omstdout...
 - Oh, that's why they would like to have ctrl-c enabled in non-debug mode
 - Oops, the environment can be pretty unreliable (think: queue files and shutdown timeout)...

Understanding Use Cases

- **syslog appliance**
 - ready to run system, setup for logging
 - user base not necessarily much interested in logging, just “get it going”
- **high-end syslog server**
 - main interest fresh and current functionality
 - for the experts
- **rsyslog project itself**
 - surprise, surprise: CI and build system
 - reproducible builds setup in easier way than VMs

Background: rsyslog CI

- Local environment
 - Primarily manual test for single functionality
- Travis
 - Full test suite
 - Different environments (but limited to Travis images)
 - Static analyzer (clang, Coverity [daily])
 - Originally limited to Travis Images
- BuildBot
 - More environments, including Solaris
 - “Final Approval” Step
 - “costly” (time-wise)

CI: the static analyzer problem

- We use clang static analyzer in CI
 - great for QA
 - but caused considerable pain
 - vastly different versions in CI and on WS OS
 - even worse: no way to disable false positives
 - very hard to reproduce CI failures - pain to fix
- initial “solution”: try to get everyone on the same page
- did not work out, not even in CI ...

the static analyzer problem - II

- Next Try
 - single CI system, Buildbot only
 - exposing CI run static analysis result
 - works ok, but now requires full CI just to get analyzer result
- real solution: container with latest stable version of analyzer
 - usable everywhere, including on dev. desks
 - consistent updates, important re:false positives
 - easy to get full-fledged analysis report locally
 - thus also helps to save CI resources

Rsyslog Logging Containers by Others

- some very well crafted containers
- often targeted to specific use cases (e.g. LogSene, Papertrail, ...)
- many provide just a base config
- some to be used with care
 - no signals (not PID 1)
 - no volumes (e.g */var/log* in container fs layer)
 - questionable queue sizes

Our syslog appliance

- goals
 - current rsyslog versions
 - easy to use for common use cases
 - usable without being rsyslog-literate
 - low footprint
- Obstacles
 - Restrictions in rsyslog config format
 - Availability of recent rsyslog packages (especially during development)

Which base image?

- Alpine
 - Pretty recent packages, but not all features
 - Slim footprint
- Ubuntu
 - Relatively “fat”
 - Rsyslog daily packages available
- CentOS & Debian
 - Enterprise preference
 - Relatively new Packages, at least for CentOS

Going Forward

- We liked Alpine pretty much
 - Small footprint is great (dev turnaround!)
 - Overall current software stack
 - Looks like often used in similar context
 - Admit some skepticism regarding musl clib
- Ubuntu in parallel
 - Easy to get current packages
 - Needed for CI purposes anyway
- Doing two in parallel showed to be a lot of duplicate work
→ initial concentration on Alpine

Getting the Slim Image

- Initial plan was to build current rsyslog in image itself
- we should better have understood layers...
- While there seem to be ways to do cleanup, there is only one good solution: create Alpine packages
- Thankfully, Alpine packaging is simple
- So we ended up with building our own Alpine packages, now also published for everyone

Meta-Config Layer

- rsyslog configuration can be complex
- some well-defined use cases
 - Forwarding to a logging service provider
 - Forwarding to a central host
 - Writing to Elasticsearch
 - Log File Storage
- Can be tackled by same method
 - Best practices defaults
 - Just some app-specific data
- Complexity can be totally removed for those cases!

```
# general container app settings:
export TZ=UTC
#export CONTAINER_SILENT=on # do not emit startup message
export ENABLE_STATISTICS=on

# Do we write log files?
export ENABLE_LOGFILES=on # yes, we do (comment out to disable)
# Where do we write to?
# path for host-specific files is: /logs/hosts/HOSTNAME
export LOGFILES_STORE="/logs/hosts/%hostname:::secpath-replace%/messages.log"
# If you have an account with Logsene, enter your access
# information below:
export LOGSENE_TOKEN=4711
export LOGSENE_URL=logsene-receiver.eu.sematext.com

#export USE_VALGRIND=on
#export RSYSLOG_DEBUG="debug nostdout"
#export RSYSLOG_DEBUGLOG="/logs/rsyslog-internal-debug.log"
```


Looks nice (hopefully ;-)).

But how does it work?

- Usually transforming this into a usable rsyslog config requires heavy use of sed and friends...
 - But we want a read-only container
 - But we want a clean config process
- Thankfully we are the rsyslog developers ;-)
 - Add feature to conditionally do includes
 - Add feature to use environment vars in config
 - ... and whatever else is useful ...

So we now have config like this

```
input(type="imptcp" port="514")
input(type="imudp" port="514")
input(type="imrelp" port="1601")
include(file="/etc/rsyslog.conf.d/log_to_logsene.conf"
        config.enabled=`echo $ENABLE_LOGSENE`)
include(file="/etc/rsyslog.conf.d/log_to_files.conf"
        config.enabled=`echo $ENABLE_LOGFILES`)
# we emit our own messages to docker console:
syslog.* :omstdout:

include(file="/config/droprules.conf" mode="optional")
# this permits the user to easily drop unwanted messages

action(name="main_utf8fix" type="mmutf8fix" replacementChar="?")

include(text=`echo $CNF_CALL_LOG_TO_LOGFILES`)
include(text=`echo $CNF_CALL_LOG_TO_LOGSENE`)
```

We need a small helper script

```
export LOGSENE_TOKEN=4711
export LOGSENE_URL=logsene-receiver.eu.sematest.com
```

```
export CNF_CALL_LOG_TO_LOGSENE=
export ENABLE_LOGSENE=off
if [ "$LOGSENE_TOKEN" != "" ]; then
    if [ -z $LOGSENE_URL ]; then
        echo "Error: LOGSENE_TOKEN_URL unset"
        exit 1
    fi
    export ENABLE_LOGSENE=on
    export CNF_CALL_LOG_TO_LOGSENE="call log_to_logsene"
fi # end LOGSENE
```

```
include(file="/etc/rsyslog.conf.d/log_to_files.conf"
        config.enabled=`echo $ENABLE_LOGFILES`)
include(text=`echo $CNF_CALL_LOG_TO_LOGFILES`)
```

Do we need root?

- Not really – just for binding to privileged ports
- Why not bind to > 1024 and let docker do the mapping?
- That way we can run the whole container unprivileged
- Of course, we need to be careful with permissions
- Current line of thought – not yet done
- Feedback from the audience???

Goal almost reached...

- Easy to use thanks to
 - Meta-config
 - Command interface (help, edit-config, show-config, ...)
- Small footprint due to Alpine & Pkgs
- Secure
 - Can be run read-only (can even be enforced)
 - Non-root?
- Dev-Friendly
 - Integrated debugging support

Really?

- Well, kind of
- We still miss important use cases
 - Different distros
 - Client-side rsyslog
(the infamous CentOS 7 imuxsock issue, ...)
 - “bare essentials” container for rsyslog-literates
 - Base distribution
 - Current rsyslog
 - Nothing else

Target: a container stack

- We want to build a set of containers that base on each other
 - Distro base image plus current components
 - Meta config level
- Same can also be applied to development containers
- We still face combinatorical explosion, so need to limit to important cases
- Automatted build system seems inevitable in the longer term (dockerhub sufficient...???)

What happens if you break clear structure...

- rsyslog-doc showed what happens if you are lazy
 - rsyslog-doc is **general** doc project
 - rsyslog-website is a rsyslog-doc user, with **special format requirements**
- We use a container in doc-generation CI
- ... as well as when generating the website doc

What happens if you break clear structure... II

- Originally I wanted to get away with a single container, but
 - caused confusion
 - ... and lots of discussion & lost work
- We now mirror the two different targets via two containers
 - rsyslog/rsyslog_doc_gen is used for doc project
 - rsyslog/rsyslog_doc_gen_website for site
 - they base on each other
- Lesson learned: do not breach layers!

Open Issues: Shutdown

- Rsyslog uses in-memory queues to handle large message bursts
- On shutdown, queues can be persisted to disk - this takes (potentially a lot of) time
- Does not play well with Docker 10 sec exit timeout
- We currently address this by using smaller queues
- Question: can we enforce a longer shutdown? And shall we?

New Challenges for the config Optimizer

- New features for meta-config like to see updates in config optimizer
 - constant expression folding
 - completely empty if conditions (special case of constant folding)
 - completely empty if then/else blocks (and control statements in general)
- Will be addressed
 - during regular development
 - Side-by-side with new meta config capabilities

Open Issues: Permissions

- Approach: recommend that user assigns uid in config explicitly
- We want to run under the current user context
- This is yet another reason why we consider to have listening ports bind to non-privileged ports
- We would prefer to have an option to enforce this, but this seems not to be possible

BTW: more uses inside rsyslog development

- Improve Travis Experience
 - Speed up tests (dependency install!)
 - Improve reliability (3rd party repo rebuilds!)
 - Different Distro Tests
 - Locally reproducible
 - Open issue: full testbench
- Troubleshooting
 - Different Distros (quick check)
 - “problem description environment”
- Packaging
 - Right now for Alpine
 - Other Distros in the future as well (or OBS!)

Future Work

- still lots to do
- imfile rewrite, permit to monitor “external” files (on host machine)
- imuxsock automatic monitoring of log sockets
- full review of docker (and others!) logging infrastructure
- Building family of containers as described
- **please provide feedback - what DO you want?**

Discussion

What would you like to see?

What would you recommend?

What are we missing or misunderstanding?

Summary

- I have described our motivation,
- use cases we see and plan to support,
- how we could integrate containers into our own workflow,
- our strategy towards production containers
 - meta config
 - client vs. server containers
 - “Container Stack”
- And finally discussed some yet-unsolved problems

Questions?

- rgerhards@adiscon.com
- <https://hub.docker.com/u/rsyslog/>
- <https://github.com/rsyslog/rsyslog-docker>